

Is Islam *Really* a Religion of Peace?

Islam: Death Penalty in the Qur'an, the Hadith, and the Sira

Questions answered in this paper include these: Does Islam *really* allow freedom of religion, or does it enact the death penalty for apostates and other unbelievers? Does Islam *really* sanction violence against non-Muslims? Does the Qur'an *really* command that Islam dominate the world? How would this domination *really* occur?

Quotes and Comments Cited by TheReligionofPeace.Com

Additional Notes [] and Highlighting by Edward D. Seely, Th.M., Ph.D.

Quotes from "What Does Islam Teach About...Apostasy"

Does Islam allow freedom of religion or does it threaten the death penalty for apostasy?

Those who turn their back on Islam are to be executed. This is confirmed by the words and deeds of Muhammad. The only freedom of belief in Islam is the freedom to become Muslim. ...

One of the world's most respected Sunni scholars, Yusuf al-Qaradawi, [admitted](#) in 2012 that if Muslims had "*gotten rid of the apostasy punishment, Islam wouldn't exist today*". (Astonishingly enough, he was not apologizing for the beheading, torture, burning and murder of millions, but rationalizing it). ...

Also in 2018, Mauritania [mandated](#) the death penalty for anyone who blasphemes against Allah or leaves Islam. Two years earlier, the Islamic State [beheaded](#) a 14-year-old boy in front of his parents for missing prayers. There was not a peep of protest from the Muslim world to either event. The latter act was actually consistent with Muhammad's

threat to burn those who would not pray (along with their houses), which he issued near the end of his life.

One can't help but notice that even Muslims who insist that the rule to kill apostates from Islam "isn't a part of the 'true' religion" never appear all that bothered when it does happen. Neither do they champion the right of other religions to evangelize in Muslim countries; in fact, they discourage it. They know as well as anyone that Islam cannot compete within the arena of free ideas and must rely on brute force at some level to retain believers. ...

<https://www.thereligionofpeace.com/pages/quran/apostasy.aspx>

(Accessed 08/05/2025)

“Sharia, or Islamic religious law, as interpreted by the government considers conversion from Islam **apostasy**, a crime punishable by death, according to the U.S. State Department.

—Rick Jervis, *USA TODAY*, 21 Feb. 2025” Quoted in Merriam-Webster.com: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/apostasy> (Accessed 11/15/2025)

What Are the Qur'an, the Hadith, and the Sira?

[EDS Note from *Search Assist*: “The Qur'an is the holy book of Islam, believed [by Muslims] to be the word of God as revealed to the Prophet Muhammad. The Hadith are collections of sayings and actions of Muhammad that provide guidance for Muslims, while the Sira are biographies that chronicle Muhammad's life and the early history of Islam, offering context to the Qur'an and Hadith.” (<https://duckduckgo.com/?q=what+are+the+Qur%27an%2C+the+Hadith%2C+and+the+Sira&t=chrome&ia=web> Accessed 09/08/2025)

Additional EDS Note: Christians do not believe Muhammad was a prophet of God, and Christians do not believe The Qur'an is holy or the Word of God. All three of these documents are contrary to the Bible and the Christian religion, which is all about true peace with God and with fellow human beings. (Cf., e.g., Matthew 22:36-40; Luke 2:14; John 13:34-35; Acts 10:36; Romans 1:7; 5:1; 16:20; 1 Corinthians 1:3; Philippians 4:7-9; Colossians 1:20; 2 John 1:3)]

Quotes from “What Does Islam Teach About...Violence?”

Does the Quran really contain over a hundred verses that sanction violence?

The Quran contains at least 109 verses that speak of war with nonbelievers, usually on the basis of their status as non-Muslims. Some are quite graphic, with commands to chop off heads and fingers and kill infidels wherever they may be hiding. Muslims who do not join the fight are called 'hypocrites' and warned that Allah will send them to Hell if they do not join the slaughter.

Unlike nearly all of the Old Testament verses of violence, most verses of violence in the Quran are open-ended, meaning that they are not necessarily restrained by historical context contained in the surrounding text (although many Muslims choose to think of them that way). They are part of [what Muslims believe is] the eternal, unchanging word of Allah, and just as relevant or subject to interpretation as anything else in the Quran.

The context of violent passages is more ambiguous than might be expected of a perfect book from a loving God. Most contemporary Muslims exercise a personal choice to interpret their holy book's call to arms according to their own moral preconceptions about justifiable

violence. Islam's apologists cater to these preferences with tenuous arguments that gloss over historical fact and generally don't stand up to scrutiny. Still, it is important to note that **the problem is *not* bad people, but bad ideology.**

Unfortunately, there are very few [verses of tolerance and peace](#) to balance out those calling for nonbelievers to be fought and subdued until they either accept humiliation, convert to Islam, or are killed. Muhammad's own martial legacy, along with the remarkable emphasis on violence found in the Quran, have produced a trail of blood and tears across world history.

Quran

[Quran \(2:244\)](#) - *"Then fight in the cause of Allah, and know that Allah Heareth and knoweth all things."* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(2:216\)](#) - ***"Fighting is prescribed for you, and ye dislike it. But it is possible that ye dislike a thing which is good for you, and that ye love a thing which is bad for you. But Allah knoweth, and ye know not."*** Not only does this verse establish that violence can be virtuous, but it also contradicts the myth that fighting is intended only in self-defense, since the audience was obviously not under attack at the time. From the Hadith, we know that this verse was narrated at a time that Muhammad was actually trying to motivate his people into [raiding merchant caravans](#) for loot. (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(3:56\)](#) - *"As to those who reject faith, I will punish them with terrible agony in this world and in the Hereafter, nor will they have anyone to help."* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(3:151\)](#) - *"Soon shall We cast terror into the hearts of the*

Unbelievers, for that they joined companions with Allah, for which He had sent no authority". This speaks directly of polytheists, yet it also includes Christians, since they believe in the Trinity (ie. what Muhammad incorrectly believed to be 'joining companions to Allah'). (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (4:74) - *"Let those fight in the way of Allah who sell the life of this world for the other. Whoso fighteth in the way of Allah, be he slain or be he victorious, on him We shall bestow a vast reward."* The martyrs of Islam are unlike the early Christians, who were led meekly to the slaughter. These Muslims are killed in battle as they attempt to inflict death and destruction for the cause of Allah. This From the Hadith, we know that this verse is the theological basis for today's suicide bombers. (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (4:76) - *"Those who believe fight in the cause of Allah, and those who disbelieve, fight in the cause of Taghut (Satan, etc.). So fight you against the friends of Shaitan (Satan)"* The Arabic for the word "fight" is from qital, meaning physical combat.

Quran (4:89) - *"They but wish that ye should reject Faith, as they do, and thus be on the same footing (as they): But take not friends from their ranks until they flee in the way of Allah (From what is forbidden). But if they turn renegades, seize them and slay them wherever ye find them; and (in any case) take no friends or helpers from their ranks."* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (4:95) - *"Not equal are those of the believers who sit (at home), except those who are disabled (by injury or are blind or lame, etc.), and those who strive hard and fight in the Cause of Allah with their wealth and their lives. Allah has preferred in grades those who strive hard and fight with their wealth and their lives above those who sit (at*

home).Unto each, Allah has promised good (Paradise), but Allah has preferred those who strive hard and fight, above those who sit (at home) by a huge reward" This passage criticizes "peaceful" Muslims who do not join in the violence, letting them know that they are less worthy in Allah's eyes. It also demolishes the modern myth that "Jihad" doesn't mean holy war in the Quran, but rather a spiritual struggle. Not only is this Arabic word (mujahiduna) used in this passage, but it is clearly not referring to anything spiritual, since the physically disabled are given exemption. (The Hadith reveals the context of the passage to be in response to a blind man's protest that he is unable to engage in Jihad, which would not make sense if it meant an internal struggle). (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(4:101\)](#) - *"And when you (Muslims) travel in the land, there is no sin on you if you shorten your Salat (prayer) if you fear that the disbelievers may attack you, verily, **the disbelievers are ever unto you open enemies.**"* Mere disbelief makes one an "open" enemy of Muslims.

[Quran \(4:104\)](#) - *"And be not weak hearted in pursuit of the enemy; if you suffer pain, then surely they (too) suffer pain as you suffer pain..."* Is pursuing an injured and retreating enemy really an act of self-defense? (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(5:33\)](#) - *"The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and His messenger and strive to make mischief in the land is only this, that they should be murdered or crucified or their hands and their feet should be cut off on opposite sides or they should be imprisoned; this shall be as a disgrace for them in this world, and in the hereafter they shall have a grievous chastisement"* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(8:12\)](#) - *"(Remember) when your Lord inspired the angels... "I will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Therefore strike off*

their heads and strike off every fingertip of them" No reasonable person would interpret this to mean a spiritual struggle, given that it both followed and preceded confrontations in which non-Muslims were killed by Muslims. The targets of violence are "those who disbelieve" - further defined in the next verse (13) as those who "defy and disobey Allah." Nothing is said about self-defense. In fact, the verses in sura 8 were narrated shortly after a battle provoked by Muhammad, who had been trying to attack a lightly-armed caravan to steal goods belonging to other people. (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (8:15) - *"O ye who believe! When ye meet those who disbelieve in battle, turn not your backs to them. (16)Whoso on that day turneth his back to them, unless maneuvering for battle or intent to join a company, he truly hath incurred wrath from Allah, and his habitation will be hell, a hapless journey's end."*

Quran (8:39) - *"And fight with them until there is no more fitna (disorder, unbelief) and religion is all for Allah"* Some translations interpret "fitna" as "persecution", but the traditional understanding of this word is not supported by the historical context (See notes for 2:193). The Meccans were simply refusing Muhammad access to their city during the pilgrimage. Other Muslims were allowed to travel there - but not as an armed group, since Muhammad had declared war on Mecca prior to his eviction. The Meccans were also acting in defense of their religion, as it was Muhammad's intention to destroy their idols and establish Islam by force (which he later did). Hence the critical part of this verse is to fight until "religion is only for Allah", meaning that the true justification of violence was the unbelief of the opposition. According to the Sira (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 324) Muhammad further explains that "Allah must have no rivals." (See also: [Response to Apologists](#)) [EDS Note: Another translation of 8:39 is "Wage war on non Muslims and kill them until they submit and the only religion is Islam."

<https://pjmedia.com/kevindowneyjr/2025/02/17/self-preservation-is-not-bigotry-despite-what-the-new-world-order-says-n4937054>
(Accessed 12/17/2025)]

Quran (8:57) - *"If thou comest on them in the war, deal with them so as to strike fear in those who are behind them, that haply they may remember."*

Quran (8:67) - *"It is not for a Prophet that he should have prisoners of war until he had made a great slaughter in the land..."*

Quran (8:59-60) - *"And let not those who disbelieve suppose that they can outstrip (Allah's Purpose). Lo! they cannot escape. Make ready for them all thou canst of (armed) force and of horses tethered, that thereby ye may dismay the enemy of Allah and your enemy."* As Ibn Kathir puts it in his tafsir on this passage, "Allah commands Muslims to prepare for war against disbelievers, as much as possible, according to affordability and availability." (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (8:65) - *"O Prophet, exhort the believers to fight..."*

Quran (9:5) - *"So when the sacred months have passed away, then slay the idolaters wherever you find them, and take them captive and besiege them and lie in wait for them in every ambush, then if they repent and keep up prayer and pay the poor-rate, leave their way free to them."* According to this verse, the best way of staying safe from Muslim violence at the time of Muhammad was to convert to Islam: prayer (salat) and the poor tax (zakat) are among the religion's Five Pillars. The popular claim that the Quran only inspires violence within the context of self-defense is seriously challenged by this passage as well, since the Muslims to whom it was written were obviously not under attack. Had they been, then there would have been no waiting

period (earlier verses make it a duty for Muslims to fight in self-defense, even during the sacred months). The historical context is Mecca after the idolaters were subjugated by Muhammad and posed no threat. Once the Muslims had power, they violently evicted those unbelievers who would not convert. (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Note: The verse says to fight unbelievers *"wherever you find them"*. Even if the context is a time of battle (which it was not) the reading appears to sanction attacks against those "unbelievers" who are not on the battlefield. In 2016, the Islamic State referred to this verse in urging the faithful to commit terror attacks: *Allah did not only command the 'fighting' of disbelievers, as if to say He only wants us to conduct frontline operations against them. Rather, He has also ordered that they be slain wherever they may be – on or off the battlefield.* ([source](#))]

[Quran \(9:14\)](#) - *"Fight against them so that Allah will punish them by your hands and disgrace them and give you victory over them and heal the breasts of a believing people."* Humiliating and hurting non-believers not only has the blessing of Allah, but it is ordered as a means of carrying out his punishment and even "heals" the hearts of Muslims.

[Quran \(9:20\)](#) - *"Those who believe, and have left their homes and striven with their wealth and their lives in Allah's way are of much greater worth in Allah's sight. These are they who are triumphant."* The Arabic word interpreted as "striving" in this verse is the same root as "Jihad". The context is obviously holy war.

[Quran \(9:29\)](#) - *"Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger, nor acknowledge the religion of Truth, (even if they are) of the People of the Book, until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued."* "People of the Book" refers to Christians and Jews. According to this verse, they are to be violently subjugated,

with the sole justification being their religious status. Verse [9:33](#) tells Muslims that Allah has instructed them to make Islam "superior over all religions." This chapter was one of the final "revelations" from Allah and it set in motion the tenacious military expansion, in which Muhammad's companions managed to conquer two-thirds of the Christian world in the next 100 years. Islam is intended to dominate all other people and faiths. (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(9:30\)](#) - *"And the Jews say: Ezra is the son of Allah; and the Christians say: The Messiah is the son of Allah; these are the words of their mouths; they imitate the saying of those who disbelieved before; may Allah destroy them; how they are turned away!"* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(9:38-39\)](#) - *"O ye who believe! what is the matter with you, that, when ye are asked to go forth in the cause of Allah, ye cling heavily to the earth? Do ye prefer the life of this world to the Hereafter? But little is the comfort of this life, as compared with the Hereafter. Unless ye go forth, He will punish you with a grievous penalty, and put others in your place."* This is a warning to those who refuse to fight, that they will be punished with Hell. The verse also links physical fighting to the "cause of Allah" (or "way of Allah"). (See also: [Response to Apologists](#)) [EDS Note: All these passages in the Qur'an that threaten Muslims who do not want to fight are an indication that there are a significant number of Muslims who do not want to fight. This phenomenon is seen in a large number of people born in Muslim countries and raised Muslim who are rejecting and leaving Islam.]

[Quran \(9:41\)](#) - *"Go forth, light or heavy (some translations read "armed") and strive with your wealth and your lives in the way of Allah! That is best for you if ye but knew."* See also the verse that follows [\(9:42\)](#) - *"If there had been immediate gain (in sight), and the journey*

easy, they would (all) without doubt have followed thee, but the distance was long, (and weighed) on them" This contradicts the myth that Muslims are to fight only in self-defense, since the wording implies that battle will be waged a long distance from home (in another country and - in this case - on Christian soil, according to the historians). (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(9:73\)](#) - *"O Prophet! strive hard against the unbelievers and the hypocrites and be unyielding to them; and their abode is hell, and evil is the destination."* Dehumanizing those who reject Islam, by reminding Muslims that unbelievers are merely firewood for Hell, makes it easier to justify slaughter. It explains why today's devout Muslims generally have little regard for those outside the faith. The inclusion of "hypocrites" (non-practicing) within the verse also contradicts the apologist's defense that the targets of hate and hostility are wartime foes, since there was never an opposing army made up of non-religious Muslims in Muhammad's time. (See also [Games Muslims Play: Terrorists Can't Be Muslim Because They Kill Muslims](#) for the role this verse plays in Islam's perpetual internal conflicts). (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(9:88\)](#) - *"But the Messenger, and those who believe with him, strive and fight with their wealth and their persons: for them are (all) good things: and it is they who will prosper."* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(9:111\)](#) - *"Allah hath purchased of the believers their persons and their goods; for theirs (in return) is the garden (of Paradise): they fight in His cause, and slay and are slain: a promise binding on Him in truth, through the Law, the Gospel, and the Quran: and who is more faithful to his covenant than Allah? then rejoice in the bargain which ye have concluded: that is the achievement supreme."* How does the Quran define a true believer? (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (9:123) - *"O you who believe! fight those of the unbelievers who are near to you and let them find in you hardness."* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (17:16) - *"And when We wish to destroy a town, We send Our commandment to the people of it who lead easy lives, but they transgress therein; thus the word proves true against it, so We destroy it with utter destruction."* Note that the crime is moral transgression, and the punishment is "utter destruction." (Before ordering the 9/11 attacks, Osama bin Laden first issued Americans an invitation to Islam).

Quran (18:65-81) - This parable lays the theological groundwork for honor killings, in which a family member is murdered because they brought shame to the family, either through apostasy or perceived moral indiscretion. The story (which is not found in any Jewish or Christian source) tells of Moses encountering a man with "special knowledge" who does things which don't seem to make sense on the surface, but are then justified according to later explanation. One such action is to murder a youth for no apparent reason (v.74). However, the wise man later explains that it was feared that the boy would "grieve" his parents by "disobedience and ingratitude." He was killed so that Allah could provide them a 'better' son. [Note: This parable along with verse [58:22](#) is a major reason that honor killing is sanctioned by Sharia. Reliance of the Traveler (Umdat al-Saliq) says that punishment for murder is not applicable when a parent or grandparent kills their offspring (o.1.12).] (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (21:44) - *"...See they not that We gradually reduce the land (in their control) from its outlying borders? Is it then they who will win?"*

Quran (25:52) - *"Therefore listen not to the Unbelievers, but strive against them with the utmost strenuousness with it."* - The root for

Jihad is used twice in this verse - although it may not have been referring to Holy War when narrated, since it was prior to the hijra at Mecca. The "it" at the end is thought to mean the Quran. Thus the verse may have originally meant a non-violent resistance to the 'unbelievers.' Obviously, this changed with the hijra. 'Jihad' after this is almost exclusively within a violent context. The enemy is always defined as people, rather than ideas.

Quran (33:60-62) - *"If the hypocrites, and those in whose hearts is a disease (evil desire for adultery, etc.), and those who spread false news among the people in Al-Madinah, cease not, We shall certainly let you overpower them, then they will not be able to stay in it as your neighbors but a little while Accursed, wherever found, they shall be seized and killed with a (terrible) slaughter."*

This passage sanctions slaughter (rendered as "merciless" and "horrible murder" in other translations) against three groups: hypocrites (Muslims who refuse to "fight in the way of Allah" (3:167) and hence don't act as Muslims should), those with "diseased hearts" (which include Jews and Christians 5:51-52), and "alarmists" or "agitators" - those who speak out against Islam. It is worth noting that the victims are to be sought out, which is what today's terrorists do.

Quran (47:3-4) - *"Those who disbelieve follow falsehood, while those who believe follow the truth from their Lord... So, when you meet (fighting Jihad in Allah's Cause), those who disbelieve smite at their necks till when you have killed and wounded many of them, then bind a bond firmly (on them, i.e. take them as captives)... If it had been Allah's Will, He Himself could certainly have punished them (without you). But (He lets you fight), in order to test you, some with others. But those who are killed in the Way of Allah, He will never let their deeds be lost."* Holy war is to be pursued against those who reject Allah. The unbelievers are to be killed and wounded. Survivors are to be held captive for ransom.

The only reason Allah doesn't do the dirty work himself is to test the faithfulness of Muslims. Those who kill pass the test. (See also: [47:4](#) for more context) (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(47:35\)](#) - *"Be not weary and faint-hearted, crying for peace, when ye should be uppermost (Shakir: "have the upper hand") for Allah is with you,"* (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

[Quran \(48:17\)](#) - *"There is no blame for the blind, nor is there blame for the lame, nor is there blame for the sick (that they go not forth to war). And whoso obeyeth Allah and His messenger, He will make him enter Gardens underneath which rivers flow; and whoso turneth back, him will He punish with a painful doom."* Contemporary apologists sometimes claim that Jihad means 'spiritual struggle.' If so, then why are the blind, lame and sick exempted? This verse also says that those who do not fight will suffer torment in hell.

[Quran \(48:29\)](#) - *"Muhammad is the messenger of Allah. And those with him are hard (ruthless) against the disbelievers and merciful among themselves"* Islam is not about treating everyone equally. This verse tells Muslims that two very distinct standards are applied based on religious status. Also the word used for 'hard' or 'ruthless' in this verse shares the same root as the word translated as 'painful' or 'severe' to describe Hell in over 25 other verses including [65:10](#), [40:46](#) and [50:26](#)..

[Quran \(61:4\)](#) - *"Surely Allah loves those who fight in His cause"* Religion of Peace, indeed! The verse explicitly refers to "rows" or "battle array," meaning that it is speaking of physical conflict. This is followed by [\(61:9\)](#), which defines the "cause": *"He it is who has sent His Messenger (Mohammed) with guidance and the religion of truth (Islam) to make it **victorious over all religions even though the infidels may resist.**"* (See next verse, below). Infidels who resist Islamic rule are to be fought. (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (61:10-12) - *"O You who believe! Shall I guide you to a commerce that will save you from a painful torment. That you believe in Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad), and that you strive hard and fight in the Cause of Allah with your wealth and your lives, that will be better for you, if you but know! (If you do so) He will forgive you your sins, and admit you into Gardens under which rivers flow, and pleasant dwelling in Gardens of'Adn- Eternity ['Adn(Edn) Paradise], that is indeed the great success."* This verse refers to physical battle waged to make Islam victorious over other religions (see verse 9). It uses the Arabic root for the word Jihad.

Quran (66:9) - *"O Prophet! Strive against the disbelievers and the hypocrites, and be stern with them. Hell will be their home, a hapless journey's end."* The root word of "Jihad" is used again here. The context is clearly holy war, and the scope of violence is broadened to include "hypocrites" - those who call themselves Muslims but do not act as such. (See also: [Response to Apologists](#))

Quran (2:191-193) - *"And kill them wherever you find them, and turn them out from where they have turned you out. And Al-Fitnah [disbelief or unrest] is worse than killing... but if they desist, then lo! Allah is forgiving and merciful. And fight them until there is no more Fitnah [disbelief and worshipping of others along with Allah] and worship is for Allah alone. But if they cease, let there be no transgression except against Az-Zalimun (the polytheists, and wrong-doers, etc.)"* (Translation is from the Noble Quran) The verse prior to this (190) refers to *"fighting for the cause of Allah those who fight you"* leading some to claim that the entire passage refers to a defensive war in which Muslims are defending their homes and families. The [historical context](#) of this passage is **not** defensive warfare, however, since Muhammad and his Muslims had just relocated to Medina and

were not under attack by their Meccan adversaries. In fact, the verses urge *offensive* warfare, in that Muslims are to drive Meccans out of their own city (which they later did). Verse 190 thus means to fight those who offer resistance to Allah's rule (ie. Muslim conquest). The use of the word "persecution" by some Muslim translators is disingenuous - the actual Arabic words for persecution (*idtiḥād*) - and oppression are not used instead of *fitna*. *Fitna* can mean disbelief, or the disorder that results from unbelief or temptation. A strict translation is 'sedition,' meaning rebellion against authority (the authority being Allah). This is certainly what is meant in this context since the violence is explicitly commissioned "*until religion is for Allah*" - ie. unbelievers desist in their unbelief. [Editor's note: these notes have been modified slightly after a critic misinterpreted our language. Verse 193 plainly says that 'fighting' is sanctioned even if the *fitna* 'ceases'. This is about religious order, not real persecution.] (See also: Response to Apologists)

Other verses calling Muslims to Jihad can be found [here](#) at AnsweringIslam.org

Hadith and Sira

Hadith

Sahih Bukhari (52:177) - *Allah's Apostle said, "The Hour will not be established until you fight with the Jews, and the stone behind which a Jew will be hiding will say. "O Muslim! There is a Jew hiding behind me, so kill him."*

Sahih Bukhari (52:256) - *The Prophet... was asked whether it was permissible to attack the pagan warriors at night with the probability of exposing their women and children to danger. The Prophet replied,*

"They (i.e. women and children) are from them (i.e. pagans)." In this command, Muhammad establishes that it is permissible to kill non-combatants in the process of killing a perceived enemy. This provides justification for the many Islamic terror bombings.

Sahih Bukhari (52:65) - *The Prophet said, 'He who fights that Allah's Word (Islam) should be superior, fights in Allah's Cause. Muhammad's words are the basis for offensive Jihad - spreading Islam by force. This is how it was understood by his companions, and by the terrorists of today. (See also Sahih Bukhari 3:125)*

Sahih Bukhari (52:220) - *Allah's Apostle said... 'I have been made victorious with terror'*

Sahih Bukhari (52:44) - *A man came to Allah's Apostle and said, "Instruct me as to such a deed as equals Jihad (in reward)." He replied, "I do not find such a deed."*

Abu Dawud (14:2526) (considered daif) - *The Prophet said, Three things are the roots of faith: to refrain from (killing) a person who utters, "There is no god but Allah" and not to declare him unbeliever whatever sin he commits, and not to excommunicate him from Islam for his any action; and jihad will be performed continuously since the day Allah sent me as a prophet...*

Abu Dawud (14:2527) (considered daif) - *The Prophet said: Striving in the path of Allah (jihad) is incumbent on you along with every ruler, whether he is pious or impious*

Sahih Muslim (1:33) - *the Messenger of Allah said: I have been commanded to fight against people till they testify that there is no god but Allah, that Muhammad is the messenger of Allah*

Sahih Bukhari (8:387) - *Allah's Apostle said, "I have been ordered to fight the people till they say: 'None has the right to be worshipped but Allah'. And if they say so, pray like our prayers, face our Qibla and slaughter as we slaughter, then their blood and property will be sacred to us and we will not interfere with them except legally."*

Sahih Muslim (1:30) - *"The Messenger of Allah said: I have been commanded to fight against people so long as they do not declare that there is no god but Allah."*

Sahih Bukhari (52:73) - *"Allah's Apostle said, 'Know that Paradise is under the shades of swords'."*

Sahih Bukhari (11:626) - [Muhammad said:] *"I decided to order a man to lead the prayer and then take a flame to burn all those, who had not left their houses for the prayer, burning them alive inside their homes."*

Sahih Muslim (1:149) - *"Abu Dharr reported: I said: Messenger of Allah, which of the deeds is the best? He (the Holy Prophet) replied: Belief in Allah and Jihad in His cause..."*

Sahih Muslim (20:4645) - *"...He (the Messenger of Allah) did that and said: There is another act which elevates the position of a man in Paradise to a grade one hundred (higher), and the elevation between one grade and the other is equal to the height of the heaven from the earth. He (Abu Sa'id) said: What is that act? He replied: Jihad in the way of Allah! Jihad in the way of Allah!"*

Sahih Muslim (20:4696) - *"the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) said: 'One who died but did not fight in the way of Allah nor did he express any desire (or determination) for Jihad died the death of a hypocrite.'"*

Sahih Muslim (19:4321-4323) - Three hadith verses in which Muhammad shrugs over the news that innocent children were killed in a raid by his men against unbelievers. His response: *"They are of them (meaning the enemy)."*

Sahih Muslim (19:4294) - *"Fight against those who disbelieve in Allah. Make a holy war... When you meet your enemies who are polytheists, invite them to three courses of action. If they respond to any one of these, you also accept it and withhold yourself from doing them any harm. Invite them to (accept) Islam; if they respond to you, accept it from them and desist from fighting against them... If they refuse to accept Islam, demand from them the Jizya. If they agree to pay, accept it from them and hold off your hands. If they refuse to pay the tax, seek Allah's help and fight them."*

Sahih Muslim (31:5917) - *"Ali went a bit and then halted and did not look about and then said in a loud voice: 'Allah's Messenger, on what issue should I fight with the people?' Thereupon he (the Prophet) said: 'Fight with them until they bear testimony to the fact that there is no god but Allah and Muhammad is his Messenger'."* The pretext for attacking the peaceful farming community of Khaybar was not obvious to the Muslims. Muhammad's son-in-law Ali asked the prophet of Islam to clarify the reason for their mission to kill, loot and enslave. Muhammad's reply was straightforward. The people should be fought because they are not Muslim.

Sahih Muslim (31:5918) - *"I will fight them until they are like us."* Ali's reply to Muhammad, after receiving clarification that the pretext for attacking Khaybar was to convert the people (see above verse).

Sahih Bukhari 2:35 *"The person who participates in (Holy Battles) in Allah's cause and nothing compels him do so except belief in Allah and*

His Apostle, will be recompensed by Allah either with a reward, or booty (if he survives) or will be admitted to Paradise (if he is killed)."

Sunan an-Nasa'i (Sahih) *"Whoever dies without having fought or thought of fighting, he dies on one of the branches of hypocrisy"*

Sunan Ibn Majah 24:2794 (Sahih) - *"I came to the Prophet and said: 'O Messenger of Allah, which Jihad is best?' He said: '(That of a man) whose blood is shed and his horse is wounded.'"* Unlike the oft-quoted "Greater/Lesser" verse pertaining to Jihad, this is judged to be authentic, and clearly establishes that the 'best' Jihad involves physical violence.

Tabari 7:97 *The morning after the murder of Ashraf, the Prophet declared, "Kill any Jew who falls under your power."* Ashraf was a poet, killed by Muhammad's men because he insulted Islam. Here, Muhammad widens the scope of his orders to kill. An innocent Jewish businessman was then slain by his Muslim partner, merely for being non-Muslim.

Tabari 9:69 *"Killing Unbelievers is a small matter to us"* The words of Muhammad, prophet of Islam.

Tabari 17:187 *"By God, our religion (din) from which we have departed is better and more correct than that which these people follow. Their religion does not stop them from shedding blood, terrifying the roads, and seizing properties.' And they returned to their former religion."* The words of a group of Christians who had converted to Islam, but realized their error after being shocked by the violence and looting committed in the name of Allah. The price of their decision to return to a religion of peace was that the men were beheaded and the woman and children enslaved by the caliph Ali.

Sira

Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 484: - *"Allah said, 'A prophet must slaughter before collecting captives. A slaughtered enemy is driven from the land. Muhammad, you craved the desires of this world, its goods and the ransom captives would bring. But Allah desires killing them to manifest the religion.'"*

Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 990: Cutting off someone's head while shouting 'Allahu Akbar' is not a 'perversion of Islam', but a tradition of Islam that began with Muhammad. In this passage, a companion recounts an episode in which he staged a surprise ambush on a settlement: *"I leapt upon him and cut off his head and ran in the direction of the camp shouting 'Allah akbar' and my two companions did likewise"*.

Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 992: - *"Fight everyone in the way of Allah and kill those who disbelieve in Allah."* Muhammad's instructions to his men prior to a military raid.

Ibn Kathir (Commentary on verses 2:190-193 - *Since Jihad involves killing and shedding the blood of men, Allah indicated that these men are committing disbelief in Allah, associating with Him (in the worship) and hindering from His path, and this is a much greater evil and more disastrous than killing.* One of Islam's most respected scholars clearly believed that Jihad means physical warfare.

Saifur Rahman, The Sealed Nectar [EDS Note: The Sealed Nectar is a significant work in the study of Sira] p.227-228 - *"Embrace Islam... If you two accept Islam, you will remain in command of your country; but if you refuse my Call, you've got to remember that all of your possessions are perishable. My horsemen will appropriate your land, and my Prophethood will assume preponderance over your kingship."* One of

several letters from Muhammad to rulers of other countries. The significance is that the recipients were not making war or threatening Muslims. Their subsequent defeat and subjugation by Muhammad's armies was justified merely on the basis of their unbelief. [EDS Note: **Safiur Rahman Mubarakpuri** (6 June 1942 – 1 December 2006)^[3] was an Indian [Islamic scholar](#), teacher, and writer affiliated with the [Salafi movement](#).^{[4][5]} He is best known for his biography of the Prophet Muhammad, [Ar-Raheeq Al-Makhtum](#) (The Sealed Nectar), which won a prize at the 1978 [Muslim World League](#)-sponsored Islamic conference on [Prophetic biography](#) (seerah) in Mecca. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Safi_al-Rahman_al-Mubarakpuri (Accessed 09/05/2025) Only this information within the brackets [] on Safiur Rahman is from this URL. The URL for all the rest of this paper is at the end of this paper.]

See also: [Classical Islamic Scholars on Jihad](#) from WikIslam

Notes

Other than the fact that Muslims haven't killed every non-Muslim under their domain, there is very little else that they can point to as proof that theirs is a peaceful, tolerant religion. Where Islam is dominant (as in the Middle East and Pakistan) religious minorities suffer brutal persecution with scant introspection. Where Islam is in the minority (as in Thailand, the Philippines and Europe) there is the threat of violence if Muslim demands are not met. Either situation seems to provide a justification for religious terrorism, which is persistent and endemic to Islamic fundamentalism.

This begins with the Quran. Few verses of Islam's most sacred text [to them] can be construed to fit the contemporary virtues of religious tolerance and universal brotherhood. Those that do are earlier "Meccan" verses which are abrogated by later ones. The example of

Muhammad is that Islam is a religion of peace when Muslims do not have the power and numbers on their side. Once they do, things change.

Many Muslims are peaceful and do not want to believe what the Quran really says. They prefer a more narrow interpretation that is closer to the Judeo-Christian ethic. Some just ignore harsher passages. Others reach for "textual context" across different suras to subjectively mitigate these verses with others so that the message fits their personal moral preference. Although the Quran itself claims to be clear and complete, these apologists speak of the "risks" of trying to interpret verses without their "assistance."

The violent verses of the Quran have played a key role in massacre and genocide. This includes the brutal slaughter of tens of millions of Hindus for five centuries beginning around 1000 AD with Mahmud of Ghazni's bloody conquest. Both he and the later Tamerlane (Islam's Genghis Khan) slaughtered an untold number merely for defending their temples from destruction. Buddhism was nearly wiped off the Indian subcontinent. Judaism and Christianity met the same fate (albeit more slowly) in areas conquered by Muslim armies, including the Middle East, North Africa and parts of Europe, including today's Turkey. Zoroastrianism, the ancient religion of a proud Persian people is despised by Muslims and barely survives in modern Iran.

Violence is so ingrained in Islam that it has never really stopped being at war, either with other religions or with itself.

Muhammad was a military leader, laying siege to towns, massacring the men, raping their women, enslaving their children, and taking the property of others as his own. On several occasions he rejected offers of surrender from the besieged inhabitants and even butchered captives.

He inspired his followers to battle when they did not feel it was right to fight, promising them slaves and booty if they did and threatening them with Hell if they did not. Muhammad allowed his men to rape traumatized women captured in battle, usually on the very day their husbands and family members were slaughtered.

The popular apologist argument that many verses of violence apply to war is shaded by the fact that war was started by Muslims, both in Muhammad's time and since. For the most part, Islamic armies waged *aggressive* campaigns, and the religion's most dramatic military conquests were made by actual companions of Muhammad in the decades following his death.

The early Islamic principle of warfare was that the civilian population of a town was to be destroyed (ie. men executed, women and children taken as slaves) if they defended themselves and resisted Islamic hegemony. Although modern apologists claim that Muslims are only supposed to "attack in self-defense", this oxymoron is flatly contradicted by the accounts of Islamic historians and others that go back to the time of Muhammad.

Some modern-day scholars are more candid than others. One of the most respected Sunni theologians is al-Qaradawi, who justifies terror attacks against Western targets by noting that there is no such thing as a civilian population in a time of war:

"It has been determined by Islamic law that the blood and property of people of Dar al-Harb [*ie. non-Muslim people who resist Islamic conquest*] is not protected... In modern war, all of society, with all its classes and ethnic groups, is mobilized to participate in the war, to aid its continuation, and to provide it with the material and human fuel required for it to assure the

victory of the state fighting its enemies. Every citizen in society must take upon himself a role in the effort to provide for the battle. The entire domestic front, including professionals, laborers, and industrialists, stands behind the fighting army, even if it does not bear arms."

Sheikh Muhammad Bin Abdul Lateef, a favorite of ISIS, has admitted:

'Jihad is a part of Islam without which Islam cannot be established and without which it is not possible to uphold the laws of sharia'.

Consider the example of the Qurayza Jews, who were completely obliterated only five years after Muhammad arrived in Medina. Their leader opted to stay neutral when their town was besieged by a Meccan army that was sent to take revenge for Muhammad's deadly caravan raids. The tribe killed no one from either side and even surrendered peacefully to Muhammad after the Meccans had been turned back. Yet the prophet of Islam had every male member of the Qurayza beheaded, and every woman and child enslaved, even raping one of the captives himself (what Muslim apologists might refer to as "same day marriage").

One of Islam's most revered modern scholars, Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi, openly sanctions offensive Jihad: *"In the Jihad which you are seeking, you look for the enemy and invade him. This type of Jihad takes place only when the Islamic state is invading other [countries] **in order to spread the word of Islam** and to remove obstacles standing in its way."*

Qutb wrote: *"Islam has the right to take the initiative...this is God's religion and it is for the whole world. It has the right to destroy all*

obstacles in the form of institutions and traditions ... it attacks institutions and traditions to release human beings from their poisonous influences, which distort human nature and curtail human freedom. Those who say that Islamic Jihad was merely for the defense of the 'homeland of Islam' diminish the greatness of the Islamic way of life."
[EDS Note: Here is another source of Islam's concept that it is authorized to conquer, rule, subjugate, and dominate the whole world.]

The widely respected [Dictionary of Islam](#) defines Jihad as "*A religious war with those who are unbelievers in the mission of Muhammad. It is an incumbent religious duty, established in the Quran and in the Traditions as a divine institution, and enjoined specially for the purpose of advancing Islam and of repelling evil from Muslims...*[Quoting from the Hanafi school, Hedaya, 2:140, 141.], **"The destruction of the sword is incurred by infidels, although they be not the first aggressors, as appears from various passages in the traditions which are generally received to this effect."**

Dr. Salah al-Sawy, the chief member of the Assembly of Muslim Jurists in America, stated in 2009 that *"the Islamic community does not possess the strength to engage in offensive jihad at this time,"* tacitly affirming the legitimacy of violence for the cause of Islamic rule - bound only by the capacity for success. ([source](#))

Muhammad's failure to leave a clear line of succession resulted in perpetual internal war following his death. Those who knew him best first fought afterwards to keep remote tribes from leaving Islam and reverting to their preferred religion (the Ridda or 'Apostasy wars'). Then the violence turned within. Early Meccan converts battled later ones as hostility developed between those immigrants who had traveled with Muhammad to Mecca and the Ansar at Medina who had helped them settle in. Finally there was a violent struggle within Muhammad's own

family between his favorite wife and favorite daughter - a jagged schism that has left Shias and Sunnis at each others' throats to this day.

The strangest and most untrue thing that can be said about Islam is that it is a religion of peace. If every standard by which the West is judged and condemned (slavery, imperialism, intolerance, misogyny, sexual repression, warfare...) were applied equally to Islam, the verdict would be devastating. Islam never gives up what it conquers, be it religion, culture, language or life. Neither does it make apologies or any real effort at moral progress. It is the least open to dialogue and the most self-absorbed. It is convinced of its own perfection, yet brutally shuns self-examination and represses criticism.

This is what makes the Quran's verses of violence so dangerous. They are given the weight of divine command. While Muslim terrorists take them literally, and understand that Islam is incomplete without Jihad, moderates offer little to contradict them - outside of personal opinion. Indeed, what do they have? Speaking of peace and love may win over the ignorant, but when every twelfth verse of Islam's holiest book either speaks to Allah's hatred for non-Muslims or calls for their death, forced conversion, or subjugation, it is little wonder that sympathy for terrorism runs as deeply as it does in the broader community - even if most Muslims prefer not to interpret their personal viewpoint of Islam in this way.

Although scholars like Ibn Khaldun, one of Islam's most respected philosophers, understood that *“the holy war is a religious duty, because of the universalism of the Muslim mission and (the obligation to) convert everybody to Islam either by persuasion or by force”*, many other Muslims are either unaware or willfully ignorant of the Quran's near absence of verses that preach universal non-violence. Their understanding of Islam comes from what they are taught by others.

Believers in the West are often led to think that their religion is like Christianity - preaching the New Testament virtues of peace, love, and tolerance. They are somewhat surprised and embarrassed to find that the Quran and the bloody history of Islam's genesis say otherwise.

Others simply accept the violence. In 1991, a Palestinian couple in America was convicted of stabbing their daughter to death for being too Westernized. A family friend came to their defense, excoriating the jury for not understanding the "culture", claiming that the father was merely following "the religion" and saying that the couple had to "discipline their daughter or lose respect." ([source](#)). In 2011, unrepentant Palestinian terrorists, responsible for the brutal [murders](#) of civilians, women and children [explicitly](#) in the name of Allah were treated to a luxurious "holy pilgrimage" to Mecca by the Saudi king - [without a single Muslim voice raised in protest](#).

The most prestigious Islamic university in the world today is Cairo's al-Azhar. While the university is very quick to condemn secular Muslims who critique the religion, it has never condemned ISIS as a group of infidels despite horrific carnage in the name of Allah. When asked why, the university's Grand Imam, Ahmed al-Tayeb [explained](#): *"Al Azhar cannot accuse any [Muslim] of being a kafir [infidel], as long as he believes in Allah and the Last Day—even if he commits every atrocity."*

Following the atrocities of October 7, in which Hamas murdered innocent people, including children, as well as raping, torturing and kidnaping others, [hundreds of Islamic scholars, clerics and organizations signed a statement of support](#), hailing the terrorists for their "righteous faith" and "religious duty", while disparaging the victims as "infidels."

For their part, Western liberals would do well not to sacrifice critical thinking to the god of political correctness, or look for reasons to bring

other religion down to the level of Islam merely to avoid the existential truth that it is both different and dangerous.

There are just too many Muslims who take the Quran literally... and too many others who couldn't care less about the violence done in the name of Islam.

Further Reading

Other verses calling Muslims to Jihad can be found [here](#) at AnsweringIslam.org

See also [Cruelty in the Quran](#) from the Skeptic's Annotated Quran

[Classical Islamic Scholars on Jihad](#) from WikiIslam

Muslim apologists often object to the straight-forward interpretation of many verses. A verse-by-verse response to their objections can be found [here](#).

[The Quran's Turn to Violence](#) (Mark Durie)

©2002 - 2024 Site developed by [TheReligionofPeace.Com](#)

All Rights Reserved

Any comments can be directed to the [Editor](#).

[About the Site](#)

https://www.thereligionofpeace.com/pages/quran/violence.aspx?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C2657980978 (Accessed 09/05/2025)

Contrasting the Bible with Islam

Rev. Edward D. Seely, Th.M., Ph.D.

The command by God in a brief section of the Old Testament to kill all men, women, and children in the pagan religions inhabiting the Promised Land before Israel moved into it, cannot be compared with Islamic commands to kill all non-Muslims. These are some of the main reasons why this attempt to compare the two religions cannot be made.

1. That particular command God gave is only in the Old Testament, and only for a brief time in the old covenant with Abraham. It is not part of God's plan in the new covenant in Jesus Christ, as revealed with some details beginning in the old covenant during the divided kingdom (cf. Jeremiah 31:31-34) and throughout the New Testament.
2. That old covenant/Old Testament command was given only in the period of the theocracy; it was concluded in that period of history. As clearly stated in the preceding quotes from the Qur'an, the Hadith, and the Sira—as well as in current news reports throughout the world—the killing of non-Muslims is an ongoing command in Islam; it is never ending.
3. The old covenant/Old Testament command was given as part of God's judgment to judge the evil of the Amorites and the other pagan religions. God judges evil; such judgment belongs to Him, for He is the righteous and holy, holy, holy—as well as loving—owner of all He has created. His love will not allow sin and evil to harm His people who bear His image forever. God's justice, including His judgments, are rooted in, based on, and emerge from His love.
4. That old covenant command was given to protect God's people from pagan influences that would destroy their ability to become

holy to God, to mature in their relationship with Him, and to be the means of His plan of restoring His creation to its original perfection.

5. For those who ask us how we know the Bible is right and not the Qur'an, the Hadith, and the Sira, we can offer many reasons, beginning with the teaching of Jesus, who validated His divinity, as well as His humanity, by His love, His perfectly obeying the Law and the Prophets, His miracles, His fulfilled prophecy, His authentic teaching, His Word, and His resurrection from the dead, which was witnessed by over 500 people who saw Him—some talking with and even touching Him—in His resurrection body.
6. Contrast the teaching of the Bible—with its emphasis on love—with the Qur'an, the Hadith, and the Sira with its emphasis on conquering and controlling by force, including by much killing of those who are non-Muslims.
7. Contrast the way the two religions extend their influence in the countries they enter. As cited above, "Islam never gives up what it conquers, be it religion, culture, language or life." Christianity does not seek to take over political control of any country; our calling by God and the mission He has given us, is to spread the Gospel of Jesus Christ by love.